

A H B

4/7/67

Dear Harold,

Always when I hear from you, my inclination is to respond right at that very moment . . . because everything you say is so ~~damn~~ darned interesting to me! But I always have the feeling that even to expect you to take time to read my stuff is an imposition on your valuable and superbusy time, to say nothing of your time involved in a reply. Today is a sensationally blah day - sleet, snow, rain and wind - so I shall pamper myself and with IBM in hand, assault the USM bag that's heading for Hyattstown. I'll salve my conscience by trying not to ramble on at horrendous length, tho!

Holy headlines, your OSWALD IN NEW ORLEANS can't possibly get into print soon enough to suit me! I think I prefer the subtitle WHITE-WASH OF THE CIA to the other one you mentioned, mostly because it ties it in with the others. That should really be a blockbuster, and I sure hope the "finks at Dell" will recognize it for what it's worth.

Even more than O.I.N.E. (if possible) I await your MANCHESTER MACHIAVELLI: THE UNINTENDED UNOFFICIAL WHITEWASH. That will do my old heart good, for sure. One of the major things I resent with Earl Warren et al (and certainly Manchester) is the fact that they all think We The People are so naive and gullible as to take their word as the gospel. I realize many people do, not so much because of gullibility but because of passivity and disinclination to allow their thinking processes to get fouled up with facts. Among my small circle of friends and large circle of co-workers, I know of none who have read, or are now willing to read even if I supply the books, either the warren commission report or any of the critical books. I have managed to whip up some interest in the subject right in my own family . . . which interest I keep fired up by news from you.

*A H B*

I sure wish we could get to hear some of your radio things. Our best bet probably is WCAU, because they seem to have devoted more time to the subject than most stations . . and because they come in loud and clear in this area most evenings. But to prove how isolated from news of this ilk we really are, I am forced to tell you that your mention of ROBERTS went right over my head. He is obviously taking you to task all over the place, but not in anything that I've heard, seen or read. Anyway, Harold, I have every confidence that he will regret the day he made an adversary out of you!

I can believe that you've had volunteer helper-outers! As I think I told you many letters ago, were I really foot-loose and fancy free, I'd have packed my overnighter and landed on your doorstep ready to research, type, proofread, run errands, cook, play with the dog, or whathaveyou immediately upon reading WHITEWASH I!

Sometime when the smoke clears away . . and you and Mrs. W. have lots of time and scads of money, you should call together a conclave of your far-flung fans! What a ball. We rabid readers might all be called "Harold's Herd"????

To hear from Mrs. W. was a truly unexpected pleasure . . and I'm saving her answer for another day when I need another lift!

Hang in there - and do try to take it easy once in a while.

Sincerely,

